



Early Childhood Personnel Center

ECPC-CSPD ASSESSMENT

State:	Date of assessment:	Completed by:
---------------	----------------------------	----------------------

State systems reflected in the assessment: _____

This assessment guides States in the planning, development, implementation and evaluation of a CSPD. Drafts can be submitted at any time, but a completed self-assessment must be submitted after the Strategic Planning Team (SPT) meeting reflecting input from the stakeholder group. It is also completed as a post assessment at the end of TA participation.

An introduction to the System Framework: <http://ectacenter.org/sysframe>

Directions:

For each Quality Indicator, please use the rating scale below (1-3) to rate each Element of Quality listed in the tables on the following pages. These scores can be recorded in the right-hand column of each table. Record the sum total of Quality Indicator Ratings for each QIPN as the *QI PN Score* at the top of each table.

Quality Indicator Rating	Description
1	The state has none this element in place
2	The state has some of this element in place
3	The state has this element in place

Guidance for Conducting and Scoring

For a State’s participation in intensive technical assistance with the Early Childhood Personnel Center (ECPC), with the intended outcome of implementing a comprehensive system of personnel development (CSPD), this document should be used to assess the status of the personnel/workforce component on a periodic basis. It is recommended that the assessment reflect, at a minimum, both Part C and Section 619. Other sectors (e.g. general early childhood) may also be included at the State’s discretion. The systems/sectors represented in the assessment should be noted on the top of this page.

Each Element of Quality should be assessed by one or two individuals who represent each sector/system included in the assessment. These individuals should be well acquainted with the system/sector in the state that they represent, with knowledge that is both broad and deep. The score assigned to each element of quality should represent the consensus of those individuals. For convenience, a rubric for scoring the Elements of Quality is provided at the end of each section of the component framework. In addition to assigning a consensus score, information that provides evidence and if appropriate, qualification (e.g. System X has but System Y does not) for the score should be provided below each item.

Subcomponent 1: Leadership, Coordination, and Sustainability

Directions: For each Quality Indicator, please use the rating scale below (1-3) to rate each Element of Quality listed in the tables on the following pages. These scores can be recorded in the right-hand column of each table.

Quality Indicator PN1: A cross sector leadership team is in place that can set priorities and make policy, governance, and financial decisions related to the personnel system.

Elements of Quality <i>QI PN1 Score:</i> _____	
a. The composition of the leadership team represents key partners from cross-sector early childhood systems, technical assistance programs, institutions of higher education, parent organizations as well as any other relevant stakeholders across disciplines. <i>State evidence (state discussion):</i>	
b. Additional stakeholder input, including from families, is actively solicited and considered by the leadership team in setting priorities and determining governance decisions. <i>State evidence (state discussion):</i>	
c. The leadership team members are aware of other related early childhood and school-age personnel development systems and align efforts when appropriate. <i>State evidence (state discussion):</i>	
d. The leadership team develops an overall vision, mission, and purpose for the CSPD and makes decisions and implements processes that reflect these. <i>State evidence (state discussion):</i>	
e. The CSPD vision, mission and purpose are aligned with the overall early intervention and preschool special education systems. <i>State evidence (state discussion):</i>	
f. The leadership team examines current policies and state initiatives (e.g. quality rating and improvement systems, educator effectiveness frameworks) to identify opportunities for collaboration and the coordination of resources, including ongoing and sustained funding across cross-sector early childhood systems. <i>State evidence (state discussion):</i>	
g. The leadership team advocates for and identifies resources for cross-sector priorities and activities. <i>State evidence (state discussion):</i>	
h. The leadership team disseminates information on the CSPD plan to relevant public and private audiences. <i>State evidence (state discussion):</i>	

Quality Indicator Rating	Description
1	The state has none of this element in place
2	The state has some of this element in place
3	The state has this element in place

Subcomponent 1: Leadership, Coordination, and Sustainability

- For each Quality Indicator, please use the rating scale below (1-3) to rate each Element of Quality listed in the tables on the following pages. These scores can be recorded in the right-hand column of each table.

Quality Indicator PN2: There is a written multi-year plan in place to address all sub-components of the CSPD.

Elements of Quality <i>QI PN2 Score:</i> _____	
<p>a. The development and implementation of the CSPD plan is based on the specific vision, mission, and purpose for a CSPD.</p> <p><i>State evidence (state discussion):</i></p>	
<p>b. The CSPD plan is aligned with and informed by stakeholder input, national professional organization personnel standards, state requirements, and the vision, mission, and purpose of the cross-sector early childhood systems involved in the CSPD.</p> <p><i>State evidence (state discussion):</i></p>	
<p>c. The CSPD plan articulates a process for two way communication between stakeholders and the leadership team for soliciting input and sharing information on the implementation of activities.</p> <p><i>State evidence (state discussion):</i></p>	
<p>d. The CSPD plan includes strategies for engaging in ongoing formative and summative evaluation of the activities.</p> <p><i>State evidence (state discussion):</i></p>	
<p>e. The leadership team monitors both the implementation and effectiveness of the activities of the CSPD plan.</p> <p><i>State evidence (state discussion):</i></p>	
<p>f. The leadership team plans for and ensures that funding and resources are available to sustain the implementation of the CSPD plan.</p> <p><i>State evidence (state discussion):</i></p>	

Quality Indicator Rating	Description
1	The state has none of this element in place
2	The state has some of this element in place
3	The state has this element in place

Subcomponent 2: State Personnel Standards

- **Directions:** For each Quality Indicator, please use the rating scale below (1-3) to rate each Element of Quality listed in the tables on the following pages. These scores can be recorded in the right-hand column of each table.

Quality Indicator PN3: State personnel standards across disciplines are aligned to national professional organization personnel standards.

Elements of Quality <i>QI PN3 Score:</i> _____	
a. State personnel standards are based on core knowledge and skills needed for working with young children and their families in cross-sector early childhood systems. <i>State evidence (state discussion):</i>	
b. State personnel standards are specified, accessible, and used by program administrators and staff. <i>State evidence (state discussion):</i>	
c. State certification or licensing boards have a mechanism for assessing the degree to which state personnel standards are demonstrated by graduates of pre-service programs across disciplines. <i>State evidence (state discussion):</i>	
d. State personnel standards are reviewed annually and updated, when appropriate, to reflect state personnel needs, changes in legal requirements, changes in national professional organizations personnel standards, evaluation data, and updated knowledge on evidence-based practices. <i>State evidence (state discussion):</i>	

Quality Indicator Rating	Description
1	The state has none of this element in place
2	The state has some of this element in place
3	The state has this element in place

Subcomponent 2: State Personnel Standards

- **Directions:** For each Quality Indicator, please use the rating scale below (1-3) to rate each Element of Quality listed in the tables on the following pages. These scores can be recorded in the right-hand column of each table.

Quality Indicator PN4: The criteria for state certification, licensure, credentialing and/or endorsement are aligned to state personnel standards and national professional organization personnel standards across disciplines.

Elements of Quality <i>QI PN4 Score:</i> _____	
<p>a. A system for articulating and attaining a certification, licensure, credentialing and/or endorsement exists across disciplines.</p> <p><i>State evidence (state discussion):</i></p>	
<p>b. The criteria and requirements for attaining certification, licensure, credentialing and/or endorsement are specified and accessible for personnel across disciplines.</p> <p><i>State evidence (state discussion):</i></p>	
<p>c. The criteria and requirements for a system of certification, licensure, credential and/or endorsement are competency or skill based.</p> <p><i>State evidence (state discussion):</i></p>	
<p>d. Mechanisms such as inter-state agreements and policies are defined and exist for cross state reciprocity of certification, licensure, credential and/or endorsement.</p> <p><i>State evidence (state discussion):</i></p>	
<p>e. The system criteria and requirements are reviewed and updated, as appropriate to reflect state personnel needs, changes in legal requirements, changes in national professional organization personnel standards, evaluation data, and updated knowledge on evidence-based practices.</p> <p><i>State evidence (state discussion):</i></p>	

Quality Indicator Rating	Description
1	The state has none of this element in place
2	The state has some of this element in place
3	The state has this element in place

Subcomponent 3: Pre-service Personnel Development

- **Directions:** For each Quality Indicator, please use the rating scale below (1-3) to rate each Element of Quality listed in the tables on the following pages. These scores can be recorded in the right-hand column of each table.

Quality Indicator PN5: Institution of higher education (IHE) programs and curricula across disciplines are aligned with both national professional organization personnel standards and state personnel standards.

Elements of Quality <i>QI PN5 Score:</i> _____	
<p>a. IHE programs and curricula for each discipline are based on knowledge and skill competencies that are aligned with state personnel standards.</p> <p><i>State evidence (state discussion):</i></p>	
<p>b. IHE programs and curricula for each discipline are based on knowledge and skill competencies that are aligned with national professional organization personnel standards.</p> <p><i>State evidence (state discussion):</i></p>	
<p>c. IHE program competencies are operationalized and defined by example.</p> <p><i>State evidence (state discussion):</i></p>	
<p>d. IHE programs and curricula for each discipline are aligned with state and local program quality initiatives and evaluation systems (e.g., QRIS, educator effectiveness frameworks, licensing).</p> <p><i>State evidence (state discussion):</i></p>	
<p>e. IHE programs and curricula for each discipline are coordinated to ensure an adequate number of programs of study are available to meet current and future personnel needs.</p> <p><i>State evidence (state discussion):</i></p>	

Quality Indicator Rating	Description
1	The state has none of this element in place
2	The state has some of this element in place
3	The state has this element in place

Subcomponent 3: Pre-service Personnel Development

- **Directions:** For each Quality Indicator, please use the rating scale below (1-3) to rate each Element of Quality listed in the tables on the following pages. These scores can be recorded in the right-hand column of each table.

Quality Indicator PN6: Institution of higher education programs and curricula address early childhood development and discipline specific pedagogy.

Elements of Quality <i>QI PN6 Score:</i> _____	
<p>a. IHE programs and curricula across disciplines recruit and prepare personnel for professional roles and responsibilities.</p> <p><i>State evidence (state discussion):</i></p>	
<p>b. IHE programs and curricula across disciplines contain evidence-based practices that reflect the learning needs of children with and at-risk for developmental delays and disabilities and their families.</p> <p><i>State evidence (state discussion):</i></p>	
<p>c. IHE programs and curricula provide relevant field experiences such as internships, observations, and practicums in a variety of inclusive early childhood settings.</p> <p><i>State evidence (state discussion):</i></p>	
<p>d. IHE programs and curricula are reviewed, evaluated, and updated to reflect current intervention evidence and revised state personnel standards and national professional organization personnel standards.</p> <p><i>State evidence (state discussion):</i></p>	
<p>e. IHE programs of study and curricula utilize evidence-based professional development practices and instructional methods to teach and supervise adult learners.</p> <p><i>State evidence (state discussion):</i></p>	
<p>f. IHE faculty collaborate and plan with in-service providers to align pre-service and in-service personnel development so there is a continuum in the acquisition of content from knowledge to mastery.</p> <p><i>State evidence (state discussion):</i></p>	

Quality Indicator Rating	Description
1	The state has none of this element in place
2	The state has some of this element in place
3	The state has this element in place

Subcomponent 4: In-service Personnel Development

- **Directions:** For each Quality Indicator, please use the rating scale below (1-3) to rate each Element of Quality listed in the tables on the following pages. These scores can be recorded in the right-hand column of each table. Record the sum total of Quality Indicator Ratings for each QIPN as the *QI PN Score* at the top of each table.

Quality Indicator PN7: A statewide system for in-service personnel development and technical assistance is in place for personnel across disciplines.

Elements of Quality <i>QI PN7 Score:</i> _____	
a. A statewide system for in-service personnel development is aligned to national professional organization personnel standards across disciplines. <i>State evidence (state discussion):</i>	
b. A statewide system for in-service personnel development is aligned to state personnel standards across disciplines. <i>State evidence (state discussion):</i>	
c. The statewide system for in-service personnel development provides a variety of technical assistance opportunities to meet the needs of personnel. <i>State evidence (state discussion):</i>	
d. The in-service personnel development component of the CSPD plan is guided by updated needs assessments of the capability of the workforce in relation to the desired knowledge and skill competencies. <i>State evidence (state discussion):</i>	
e. In-service personnel development is coordinated across early childhood systems and delivered collaboratively, as appropriate. <i>State evidence (state discussion):</i>	
f. In-service personnel development employs evidenced based professional development practices that incorporate a variety of adult learning strategies including job embedded applications such as coaching, reflective supervision and supportive mentoring. <i>State evidence (state discussion):</i>	
g. In-service learning opportunities are individualized to the needs of the participants and the objectives of the personnel development. <i>State evidence (state discussion):</i>	
h. Families and/or parent organization participate in the design and delivery of in-service personnel development. <i>State evidence (state discussion):</i>	

Quality Indicator Rating	Description
1	The state has none of this element in place
2	The state has some of this element in place
3	The state has this element in place

Subcomponent 4: In-service Personnel Development

- **Directions:** For each Quality Indicator, please use the rating scale below (1-3) to rate each Element of Quality listed in the tables on the following pages. These scores can be recorded in the right-hand column of each table.

Quality Indicator PN8: A statewide system for in-service personnel development and technical assistance is aligned and coordinated with higher education program and curricula across disciplines.

Elements of Quality <i>QI PN8 Score:</i> _____	
a. The content for in-service personnel development is based on evidence-based practices. <i>State evidence (state discussion):</i>	
b. Faculty from IHEs and in-service staff meet on a quarterly basis to plan for, coordinate, and collaborate on in-service content. <i>State evidence (state discussion):</i>	
c. Content for in-service personnel development extends the depth of core knowledge and skills acquired in pre-service programs and addresses updated knowledge on evidence-based practices and changes in state policies and initiatives. <i>State evidence (state discussion):</i>	

Quality Indicator Rating	Description
1	The state has none of this element in place
2	The state has some of this element in place
3	The state has this element in place

Subcomponent 5: Recruitment and Retention

- **Directions:** For each Quality Indicator, please use the rating scale below (1-3) to rate each Element of Quality listed in the tables on the following pages. These scores can be recorded in the right-hand column of each table.

Quality Indicator PN9: Comprehensive recruitment and retention strategies are based on multiple data sources, and revised as necessary.

Elements of Quality <i>QI PN9 Score:</i> _____	
a. Strategies are based on data, current research, and stakeholder input. <i>State evidence (state discussion):</i>	
b. Strategies target discipline-specific shortages. <i>State evidence (state discussion):</i>	
c. The effectiveness of strategies is tracked, reviewed annually, and updated as appropriate based on data, current research, and stakeholder input. <i>State evidence (state discussion):</i>	

Quality Indicator Rating	Description
1	The state has none of this element in place
2	The state has some of this element in place
3	The state has this element in place

Subcomponent 5: Recruitment and Retention

- **Directions:** For each Quality Indicator, please use the rating scale below (1-3) to rate each Element of Quality listed in the tables on the following pages. These scores can be recorded in the right-hand column of each table.

Quality Indicator PN10: Comprehensive recruitment and retention strategies are being implemented across disciplines.

Elements of Quality <i>QI PN10 Score:</i> _____	
<p>a. Strategies include opportunities for advancement through a variety of processes such as articulation between two and four year institutions of higher education and access to career pathways/ladders.</p> <p><i>State evidence (state discussion):</i></p>	
<p>b. Strategies focus on induction, improving administrative supports, and using a variety of mentoring models to support and retain personnel.</p> <p><i>State evidence (state discussion):</i></p>	
<p>c. Strategies include incentives and recognition programs such as financial compensation, scholarships, service obligations, loan reimbursement and/or tuition reimbursement to improve access to pre-service and in-service personnel development.</p> <p><i>State evidence (state discussion):</i></p>	
<p>d. Strategies address alternative routes to certification.</p> <p><i>State evidence (state discussion):</i></p>	
<p>e. Strategies address the usefulness of designing and/or participating in online recruitment systems.</p> <p><i>State evidence (state discussion):</i></p>	

Quality Indicator Rating	Description
1	The state has none of this element in place
2	The state has some of this element in place
3	The state has this element in place

Subcomponent 6: Evaluation

- **Directions:** For each Quality Indicator, please use the rating scale below (1-3) to rate each Element of Quality listed in the tables on the following pages. These scores can be recorded in the right-hand column of each table.

Quality Indicator PN11: The evaluation plan for the CSPD includes processes and mechanisms to collect, store, and analyze data across all subcomponents.

Elements of Quality	QI PN11 Score: _____
<p>a. Decisions regarding priorities for evaluation questions to be addressed and data to be collected are identified when developing the CSPD plan.</p> <p><i>State evidence (state discussion):</i></p>	
<p>b. Multiple processes, mechanisms, and methods to collect data are identified and established based on the need for the information, usefulness of potential findings, and burden on respondents and systems.</p> <p><i>State evidence (state discussion):</i></p>	
<p>c. The state has the capacity to support data collection, management, and analysis for personnel qualifications, needs assessment, pre-service and in-service personnel development, and personnel supply and demand.</p> <p><i>State evidence (state discussion):</i></p>	
<p>d. Quality review processes for data collection, verification, storage and management, and analysis are defined and implemented regularly.</p> <p><i>State evidence (state discussion):</i></p>	
<p>e. Personnel data are linked to child and family outcomes.</p> <p><i>State evidence (state discussion):</i></p>	

Quality Indicator Rating	Description
1	The state has none of this element in place
2	The state has some of this element in place
3	The state has this element in place

Subcomponent 6: Evaluation

- **Directions:** For each Quality Indicator, please use the rating scale below (1-3) to rate each Element of Quality listed in the tables on the following pages. These scores can be recorded in the right-hand column of each table.

Quality Indicator PN12: The evaluation plan is implemented, continuously monitored, and revised as necessary based on multiple data sources.

Elements of Quality	QI PN12 Score: _____
<p>a. The implementation of the evaluation plan results in data or data summaries and analysis that are useful for decision-making and are accessible across cross-sector early childhood systems.</p> <p><i>State evidence (state discussion):</i></p>	
<p>b. Data are used to inform decisions, monitor progress, and make program improvements.</p> <p><i>State evidence (state discussion):</i></p>	
<p>c. Data are collected on personnel variables, such as personnel development participation, acquisition of content, and performance of competencies and those data are examined in relation to relevant child and family outcomes.</p> <p><i>State evidence (state discussion):</i></p>	
<p>d. Data are collected on personnel development variables, such as units of personnel development, type and amount of support (e.g. observational feedback, coaching, practicums), and content and those data are examined in relation to relevant child and family outcomes.</p> <p><i>State evidence (state discussion):</i></p>	

Quality Indicator Rating	Description
1	The state has none of this element in place
2	The stat has some of this element in place
3	The stat has this element in place



Office of Special Education Programs
U.S. Department of Education

The contents of this document were developed under cooperative agreement numbers #H326P120002 and #H325B120004 from the Office of Special Education Programs, U.S. Department of Education. Opinions expressed herein do not necessarily represent the policy of the US Department of Education, and you should not assume endorsement by the Federal Government.

Project Officers: Julia Martin Eile & Dawn Ellis