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Scaling up

Scaling up has been defined as the process by which interventions are implemented on a small scale, validated and then implemented more broadly in real world conditions (Odom, 2009)
"I think you should be more explicit here in step two."
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of Development</th>
<th>Criteria and Standards for Development</th>
<th>Dissemination Purposes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| DEMONSTRATIONS       | 1. Functional relationship between intervention and a behavior  
                        2. Operational definition and reliable measurement of the behavior  
                        3. Definition and reliable use of the intervention  
                        4. Consistency of effect across service consumers  
                        5. Social significance of behavior change  
                        6. Socially acceptable intervention methods  
                        7. Socially valid relationship between intervention and behavioral result  
                        8. Consistency of effects across users  
                        9. Advantage over alternative service delivery  
                       10. Fidelity of implementation | a. Information for adoption of intervention to fit user’s purpose  
                        b. Generation of support for a service objective of method  
                        c. Dissemination for replication or adoption |
| MODES                |                                        | (Paine, Bellamy, & Wilcox, 1984) |

Figure 2. Relationship between program development criteria and standards, dissemination purposes, and levels of development of innovative practices.
### Relationship between program development criteria and standards, dissemination purposes, and levels of development of innovative practices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of Development</th>
<th>Criteria and Standards for Development</th>
<th>Dissemination Purposes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1. Functional relationship between intervention and a behavior</td>
<td>a. Information for adaption of intervention to fit user’s purpose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Operational definition and reliable measurement of the behavior</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. Definition and reliable use of the intervention</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. Consistency of effect across service consumers</td>
<td>b. Generation of support for a service objective of method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5. Social significance of behavior change</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6. Socially acceptable intervention methods</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7. Socially valid relationship between intervention and behavioral result</td>
<td>c. Dissemination for replication or adoption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8. Consistency of effects across users</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9. Advantage over alternative service delivery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10. Fidelity of implementation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Systematic Review

1,945 studies defined as including actions and strategies used to implement innovations in new setting

25 Frameworks

Durlak & Wandersman (2012)
Phase 1:
- (1) engage key opinion leaders and decision-makers in the implementation process with a shared perception that the innovation is necessary and beneficial;
- (2) align the innovation with the host setting’s broader mission and values;
- (3) reduce barriers, identify incentives and disincentives for innovation use; and
- (4) identify champions for the innovation.

Phase 2:
- (1) development of a clear plan and timeline for implementation; and
- (2) the identification of who will perform each task

Phase 3:
- (1) the provision of ongoing technical assistance to front-line providers;
- (2) monitoring ongoing implementation; and
- (3) the creation of feedback mechanisms to inform all involved parties of the status of implementation process

Phase 4:
- (1) an analysis of the implementation to reflect on the successes and needs of the host site for continued practice implementation.

Meyers, Durlak and Wandersman, (2012)
Phase 1

(1) engage key opinion leaders and decision-makers in the implementation process with a shared perception that the innovation is necessary and beneficial;

(2) align the innovation with the host setting’s broader mission and values;

(3) reduce barriers, identify incentives and disincentives for innovation use; and

(4) identify champions for the innovation.
Phase 2

(1) creation of an organized structure to oversee the implementation, including a clear plan and timeline for implementation;

(2) the identification of who will perform each task
Phase 3

(1) the provision of ongoing technical assistance to front-line providers;

(2) monitoring ongoing implementation;

(3) the creation of feedback mechanisms to inform all involved parties of the status of implementation process.
Phase 4

(1) an analysis of the implementation to reflect on the successes and needs of the host site for continued practice implementation.
Implementation Science

1) clear description of the program;
2) clear description of the essential functions;
3) operational definitions of the essential functions;
4) a practical assessment of the performance of practitioners who are using the program.

Fixsen, et.al., 2013

5) evidence that the program is effective when used as intended.

Halle, et.al., 2015
Four Stages:
• exploration
• installation
• initial implementation
• full implementation of the full program or system

Core Elements:
• implementation teams
• data-based decision making for progress monitoring and improvement
• sustainable infrastructure for capacity building

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PHASE ONE: Exploration</th>
<th>PHASE TWO: Installation</th>
<th>PHASE THREE: Implementation</th>
<th>PHASE FOUR: Standardization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Develop core planning team and project liaison</td>
<td>Identify a date and location for strategic planning</td>
<td>Implement work plans for each CSPD subcomponent workgroup</td>
<td>Prepare integrated CSPD report of process and implementation plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete the readiness tool for ECPC; the TA tracking tool and the self-assessment of the CSPD framework</td>
<td>Invite stakeholders to be part of strategic CSPD team</td>
<td>Develop monthly reports on each CSPD workgroup’s progress, to distribute across all groups</td>
<td>Implement all subcomponent activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decide if ECPC intensive TA is a match for state needs, complete the memorandum of agreement</td>
<td>Facilitate a 1-2-day meeting to develop the state CSPD vision, mission, and work plans for work groups for each subcomponent of the CSPD</td>
<td>Meet monthly as a core planning team to review work group progress and give feedback and assistance</td>
<td>Evaluate all CSPD activities and modify as needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identify stakeholders for strategic planning team</td>
<td>Establish meeting and reporting schedule for work groups and large strategic planning group</td>
<td>Meet with the CSPD strategic planning group quarterly to review progress and adjust work plans</td>
<td>Revise CSPD and plan for sustainability</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PHASE One: months 1-4
PHASE Two: months 5-6
PHASE Three: months 7-17
PHASE Four: month 18 and ongoing
Vertical scaling-up: changes that have effected at all levels of a system (e.g., state level, program level, and person level) contributing to the adoption and sustained use of targeted practices (depth).

Horizontal scaling-up: the spread in the use of targeted practices by end users that has typically accomplished by replications of replications, which have the effect of recreating the adoption and sustained use of targeted practices (breadth).

Simmons & Shiffman, (2006)
Strategic Planning

- Evaluation
- Vision
- Objectives and Plan
- Mission
- Capacity
Next Steps:

1. Break Out in Small Groups

2. Use The Self Assessment (where are you at?)

3. What is the Plan (where are you going?)

4. How Will You Get There (what is the plan?)

5. How Will You Get There (just do it!)
**PHASE ONE: Exploration**
- Develop core planning team and project liaison
- Complete the readiness tool for ECPC; the TA tracking tool and the self-assessment of the CSPD framework
- Decide if ECPC intensive TA is a match for state needs, complete the memorandum of agreement
- Identify stakeholders for strategic planning team

**PHASE One: months 1-4**

**PHASE TWO: Installation**
- Identify a date and location for strategic planning
- Invite stakeholders to be part of strategic CSPD team
- Facilitate a 1-2-day meeting to develop the state CSPD vision, mission, and work plans for work groups for each subcomponent of the CSPD
- Establish meeting and reporting schedule for work groups and large strategic planning group

**PHASE Two: months 5-6**

**PHASE THREE: Implementation**
- Implement work plans for each CSPD subcomponent workgroup
- Develop monthly reports on each CSPD workgroup's progress, to distribute across all groups
- Meet monthly as a core planning team to review work group progress and give feedback and assistance
- Meet with the CSPD strategic planning group quarterly to review progress and adjust work plans

**PHASE Three: months 7-17**

**PHASE FOUR: Standardization**
- Prepare integrated CSPD report of process and implementation plan
- Implement all subcomponent activities
- Evaluate all CSPD activities and modify as needed
- Revise CSPD and plan for sustainability

**PHASE Four: month 18 and ongoing**
Change is not magic or inspiration.

It’s completing many undramatic, small steps successfully.

Danziel & Schoonover, 1988